

Nonextensive/dissipative correspondence in relativistic hydrodynamics

Takeshi OSADA^{1,*}) and Grzegorz WILK^{2,**})

¹ *Theoretical Physics Lab., Faculty of Knowledge Engineering, Musashi Institute of Technology, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158-8557, Japan*

² *The Andrzej Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Hoża 69, 00681, Warsaw, Poland*

We argue that there is profound correspondence (the nonextensive/dissipative correspondence - NexDC) between the perfect nonextensive hydrodynamics and the usual dissipative hydrodynamics which leads to simple expression for dissipative entropy current.

We have recently proposed and discussed in detail¹⁾ a nonextensive hydrodynamical model, q -hydrodynamics. It is based on the relativistic nonextensive kinetic theory (as, for example, proposed in works^{2),3)}, which automatically accounts for all kinds of possible strong intrinsic fluctuations and long-range correlations existing in systems of quark and/or hadronic matter produced relativistic heavy-ion collisions. It is expected to be in a kind of stationary state⁴⁾ rather than in exact thermal equilibrium. It is described by nonextensive statistics⁵⁾ and characterized by the non-extensive parameter q . In this approach the *perfect q -hydrodynamical equations* (for perfect, non-viscous in q -language q -fluid) are given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{q;\mu}^{\mu\nu} = \left[\varepsilon_q(T_q) u_q^\mu u_q^\nu - P_q(T_q) \Delta_q^{\mu\nu} \right]_{;\mu} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Here $\varepsilon_q(T_q)$, $P_q(T_q)$ and $u_q^\mu(x)$ are, respectively, the nonextensive energy density and pressure (both being functions of the none-extensive temperature T_q ¹⁾) and accompanying hydrodynamical flow four vector, whereas $\Delta_q^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - u_q^\mu u_q^\nu$. Note that one can always decompose tensor $\mathcal{T}_q^{\mu\nu}$ by using another 4-velocity field $u^\mu(x)$ and obtain

$$\left[\tilde{\varepsilon} u^\mu u^\nu - \tilde{P} \Delta^{\mu\nu} + 2W^{(\mu} u^{\nu)} + \pi^{\mu\nu} \right]_{;\mu} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where (we denote $\delta u_q^\mu \equiv u_q^\mu - u^\mu$ and $\Delta^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - u^\mu u^\nu$)

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_q + 3\Pi, \quad \tilde{P} = P_q + \Pi, \quad (3a)$$

$$W^\mu = w_q[1 + \gamma] \Delta_\lambda^\mu \delta u_q^\lambda, \quad (3b)$$

$$\pi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{W^\mu W^\nu}{w_q[1 + \gamma]^2} + \Pi \Delta^{\mu\nu} = w_q \delta u_q^{<\mu} \delta u_q^{>\nu} \quad (3c)$$

can be interpreted as, respectively, energy density ($\tilde{\varepsilon}$), pressure ($\tilde{P}$), energy or heat flow vector (W^μ) and shear pressure tensor ($\pi^{\mu\nu}$) accompanying the field $u^\mu(x)$.

*) E-mail: osada@ph.ns.musashi-tech.ac.jp

**) E-mail: Grzegorz.Wilk@fuw.edu.pl

Here $w_q \equiv \varepsilon_q + P_q$, $\gamma \equiv u_\mu \delta u_q^\mu = -\frac{1}{2} \delta u_{q\mu} \delta u_q^\mu$, $A^{(\mu} B^{\nu)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A^\mu B^\nu + A^\nu B^\mu)$, $a^{<\mu} b^{\nu>} \equiv [\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_\lambda^\mu \Delta_\sigma^\nu + \Delta_\sigma^\mu \Delta_\lambda^\nu) - \frac{1}{3} \Delta^{\mu\nu} \Delta_{\lambda\sigma}] a^\lambda b^\sigma$ whereas

$$\Pi \equiv \frac{1}{3} w_q [\gamma^2 + 2\gamma]. \quad (4)$$

This last quantity can be regarded as a bulk pressure. The crucial point of our work is assumption that there exists some temperature T and velocity field δu_q^μ satisfying the following (which we call the *NexDC relations*):

$$P(T) = P_q(T_q), \quad \varepsilon(T) = \varepsilon_q(T_q) + 3\Pi. \quad (5)$$

Let $\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon_{q=1}$ and $P \equiv P_{q=1}$ be the energy density and pressure (both functions of temperature T) defined in the usual Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics (i.e., for $q = 1$). Using them one can transform equation (2) into following usual dissipative hydrodynamical equation (or d -hydrodynamics):⁶⁾⁻⁹⁾

$$\left[\varepsilon(T) u^\mu u^\nu - (P(T) + \Pi) \Delta^{\mu\nu} + 2W^{(\mu} u^{\nu)} + \pi^{\mu\nu} \right]_{;\mu} = 0. \quad (6)$$

This completes demonstration of our conjecture that perfect q -hydrodynamics represented by Eq. (1) is *equivalent* to d -hydrodynamics represented by Eq. (6), which is therefore its viscous counterpart. Notice that with bulk pressure (4) and NexDC relations (5) one obtains the q -enthalpy,

$$\varepsilon_q(T_q) + P_q(T_q) = \frac{\varepsilon(T) + P(T)}{[1 + \gamma]^2}, \quad (7)$$

which can be also used in definition of γ because $w \equiv Ts = \varepsilon + P$ and $1/(\gamma + 1) = \sqrt{1 - 3\Pi/w}$ (s is the entropy density in the usual Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics). Notice that in the NexDC one has the following relations:

$$W^\mu W_\mu = -3\Pi w, \quad \pi^{\mu\nu} W_\nu = -2\Pi W^\mu, \quad \pi_{\mu\nu} \pi^{\mu\nu} = 6\Pi^2. \quad (8)$$

Let us consider now respective entropies. Dissipation is connected with the production of entropy and in the usual approach^{7),8)} the most general off-equilibrium four-entropy current σ^μ is given by

$$\sigma^\mu = P(T) \beta^\mu + \beta_\nu (T_{\text{eq}}^{\mu\nu} + \delta T^{\mu\nu}) + Q^\mu, \quad (9)$$

where $\beta^\mu \equiv u^\mu/T$, $T_{\text{eq}}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \varepsilon(T) u^\mu u^\nu - P(T) \Delta^{\mu\nu}$, $\delta T^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\Pi \Delta^{\mu\nu} + W^\mu u^\nu + W^\nu u^\mu + \pi^{\mu\nu}$ and where $Q^\mu = Q^\mu(\delta T^{\mu\nu})$ is some function which characterizes the off-equilibrium state. In the case of the q -entropy current¹⁾ the NexDC (i.e., Eqs. (4) and (7)) leads to the following off-equilibrium state:

$$Q^\mu = Q_\chi^\mu \equiv \chi \left[s u^\mu + \frac{W^\mu}{T} \right], \quad (10)$$

(with $\chi \equiv \frac{T}{T_q} \sqrt{1 - \frac{3\Pi}{w}} - 1$) which results in

$$\sigma_\chi^\mu = s u^\mu + \frac{W^\mu}{T} + \chi \left\{ s u^\mu + \frac{W^\mu}{T} \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Notice that, because of the strict q -entropy conservation assumed here, when using $Q^\mu = Q_\chi^\mu$ one always gets $\sigma_{\chi;\mu}^\mu = 0$. It means that, although there is no production of q -entropy, there is some production of the usual entropy, i.e., our q -system is really *dissipative* in the usual meaning of this word.

Let us compare now the usual causal relativistic dissipative theory as given by^{7),8)} with the one emerging from our NexDC. The most general algebraic form of Q^μ , calculated up to the second order in the dissipative flux, is given by⁸⁾

$$Q_{2\text{nd}}^\mu = \frac{[-\beta_0 \Pi^2 + \beta_1 W_\nu W^\nu - \beta_2 \pi_{\nu\lambda} \pi^{\nu\lambda}]}{2T} u^\mu - \frac{\alpha_0 \Pi W^\mu}{T} + \frac{\alpha_1 \pi^{\mu\nu} W_\nu}{T}, \quad (12)$$

where $\beta_{i=1,2,3}$ are the corresponding thermodynamic coefficients for the, respectively, scalar, vector and tensor dissipative contributions to the entropy current whereas $\alpha_{i=0,1}$ are the corresponding viscous/heat coupling coefficients. Correspondingly, in the NexDC one has

$$Q_{2\text{nd}}^\mu \rightarrow \Gamma_{2\text{nd}} s u^\mu + \Upsilon_{1\text{st}} \frac{W^\mu}{T}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{2\text{nd}} \equiv -\frac{3\beta_1}{2} \Pi - \frac{(\beta_0 + 6\beta_2)}{2w} \Pi^2, \quad \Upsilon_{1\text{st}} \equiv -(\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1) \Pi. \quad (14)$$

As one can see, in this case Q^μ can be expressed by polynomials in the bulk pressure Π . Therefore, it is natural to expect that the most general entropy current in the NexDC approach has the following form:

$$Q_{\text{full}}^\mu = \Gamma(\Pi) s u^\mu + \Upsilon(\Pi) \frac{W^\mu}{T}, \quad (15)$$

where Γ, Υ are (in general infinite) series in powers of the bulk pressure Π . In this sense the Q_{full}^μ can be regarded as being the *full order dissipative current*.

In general one has entropy production/reduction, i.e., $\sigma_{;\mu}^\mu \neq 0$. However in the case when $\Gamma(\Pi) = \Upsilon(\Pi) = \chi$ one has $\sigma_{\chi;\mu}^\mu = 0$ and therefore one can write the full order dissipative entropy current as being equal to

$$Q_{\text{full}}^\mu = (\chi + \xi) s u^\mu + (\chi - \xi) \frac{W^\mu}{T}, \quad (16)$$

where Γ and Υ are determined by $\chi \equiv (\Gamma + \Upsilon)/2$ and $\xi \equiv (\Gamma - \Upsilon)/2$. Note here that one always can express χ by κ and γ :

$$\chi = -\frac{\gamma}{(1+\gamma)(1+\kappa)} - \frac{\kappa}{1+\kappa} \quad (17)$$

where $\kappa \equiv T_q/T - 1$. The expression Eq. (17) suggests that one can get two possible solutions for (Γ, Υ) satisfying both $\chi \equiv (\Gamma + \Upsilon)/2$ and Eq. (10),

$$\frac{\Gamma}{2} \equiv \frac{T}{T_q} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{3\Pi}{w}} - 1 \right), \quad \frac{\Upsilon}{2} \equiv \frac{T - T_q}{T_q} \quad (18a)$$

or

$$\frac{\Gamma}{2} \equiv \frac{T - T_q}{T_q}, \quad \frac{\Upsilon}{2} \equiv \frac{T}{T_q} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{3\Pi}{w}} - 1 \right). \quad (18b)$$

Out of them only (18a) is acceptable because only for it $u_\mu Q_{\text{full}}^\mu \leq 0$ (i.e., entropy is maximal in the equilibrium,⁸⁾ this is because $(T - T_q)/T_q$ is always positive for $q \geq 1$ ¹⁾). In this way we finally arrive at the following possible expression for the full order dissipative entropy current in the NexDC approach:

$$\sigma_{\text{full}}^\mu \equiv su^\mu + \frac{W^\mu}{T} - \frac{2T}{T_q} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{3\Pi}{w}} \right] su^\mu + \frac{2(T - T_q)}{T_q} \frac{W^\mu}{T}. \quad (19)$$

Limiting ourselves to situations when $T/T_q \approx 1$ and neglecting terms higher than $\mathcal{O}(3\Pi/w)^2$, one obtains that

$$Q_{\text{full}}^\mu \approx \left[-\left(\frac{3\Pi}{w}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{3\Pi}{w}\right)^2 \right] su^\mu. \quad (20)$$

Comparing Eqs. (13) and (20) one gets $\beta_1 = \frac{2}{w}$, $\beta_0 + 6\beta_2 = \frac{9}{2w}$, $\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 = 0$. Since in the Israel-Stewart theory⁷⁾ the relaxation time τ is proportional to thermodynamical coefficients $\beta_{0,1,2}$, it is naturally to assume that in our NexDC case $\tau \propto 1/w$, i.e., it is proportional to the inverse of the enthalpy.

To summarize, we have proposed to describe dissipative hydrodynamics (at least partially) by using nonextensive formulation of the usual perfect hydrodynamical model introducing a nonextensive/dissipative correspondence (NexDC). As discussed in more detail in¹⁾ such model can be solved exactly and when compared to the usual hydrodynamical approach it reveals terms which can be interpreted as due to dissipative effects. They can be therefore expressed by the single parameter of the theory used, namely the nonextensivity parameter q . We have used this finding to propose a possible full order expression for the dissipative entropy current σ_{full}^μ .

Acknowledgements

Partial support (GW) of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education under contracts 1P03B02230 and CERN/88/2006 is acknowledged.

References

- 1) T. Osada and G. Wilk, *Phys. Rev. C* **77** (2008), 044903; arXiv:0710.1905 [nucl-th]. Here one can also find all necessary references to subject of nonextensivity and q -statistical mechanics. See also arXiv:0805.2253 [nucl-th].
- 2) A. Lavagno, *Phys. Lett. A* **301**, 13 (2002).
- 3) J. A. S. Lima, R. Silva and A. R. Plastino, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** 2983 (2001).
- 4) T. Kodama, H. T. Elze, C. E. Aguiar and T. Koide, cond-mat/0406732; T. Kodama, *J. Phys.* **31**, S1051 (2005).
- 5) C. Tsallis, *J. Stat. Phys.* **52** 479 (1988); *Braz. J. Phys.* **29**, 1 (1999).
- 6) C. Eckart, *Phys. Rev.* **58**, 919 (1940).
- 7) W. Israel, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **100**, 310 (1976); J. M. Stewart, *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A* **357**, 59 (1977); W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **118**, 341 (1979).
- 8) A. Muronga, *Phys. Rev. C* **69**, 034903 (2004); A. Muronga and D.H. Rischke, arXiv:nucl-th/0407114; A. Muronga, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 062302 (2002).
- 9) About dissipative hydrodynamics, see for example, U. Heinz, H. Song and A.K. Chaudhuri, *Phys. Rev. C* **73**, 034904 (2006); H. Song and U. Heinz, arXiv:0712.3715 [nucl-th]; P. Romatschke, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **52** 203 (2007); P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 17230 (2007).